

METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607-4700 TEL 510.817.5700 TDD/TTY 510.817.5769 FAX 510.817.5848 E-MAIL info@ntc.ca.gov WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

DATE: October 6, 2015

Memorandum

TO: Partnership Local Streets and Roads Working Group

FR: Christina Hohorst

RE: P-TAP 17 Call for Projects

The Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) provides Bay Area jurisdictions with expertise in implementing and maintaining a pavement management program, primarily the MTC StreetSaver® software. MTC has programmed approximately \$16 million in regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds during the last sixteen rounds of P-TAP. In total, MTC has funded about 665 projects and assisted all Bay Area jurisdictions with their pavement needs.

MTC is soliciting applications for Round 17 projects (see attached). The application will be available online and will be due October 30, 2015 by 4:00 p.m. Please follow the following link to apply for P-TAP 17: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/pmp/

Approximately \$1.4 million is available for Round 17. To ensure that there is enough funding for small jurisdictions to complete PMS projects, the minimum grant amount awarded will be \$15,000.00. The maximum grant amount will remain \$100,000.00. MTC will notify grant finalists in January 2016, contingent upon Administration Committee approval. All eligible Bay Area cities and counties are encouraged to apply and to participate in a webinar for the P-TAP 17 Call for Projects on Thursday October 15, 2015 at 10 a.m.

Please feel free to contact me at 510-817-5869 and chohorst@mtc.ca.gov with questions.



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

October 6, 2015

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607-4700 TEL 510.817.5700 TTY/TDD 510.817.5769 FAX 510.817.5848 EMAIL info@mtc.ca.gov WEB www.ntc.ca.gov

Dave Correse, Chair-Suma Clara County

Jake Mackenzie, Vice Chair-

Alicia C. Aguirre
Causs of San Marca County

Tom Azambrado

L.S Department of Housing
and Urban Development

Jason Baker

Tom Bates Canes of Manuela Commi

David Campos Cny and County of San Francisco

Dorene M. Giacopini U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal D. Glover Contra Costa County

Scott Haggerty Alameda County

Anne W. Halsted San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

> Steve Kinsey Marin County and Cares

Sam Liceardo San Jose Mayor's Appointer

Mark Luce

Julie Pierce Association of Bay Area Concernments

> Bijan Sartipi California State Transportation Agency

Libby Scharf Oakland Marar's Appermee

James P. Spering Solano County and Cattes

Adrienne J. Tissier

Sent Wiener Sm Francisco Mixors Appointed

Amy Rein Worth Cities of Contra Costa County

> Steve Heminger Excense Director

Alix Backelman Deputy Executive Director, Policy

Andrew B. Fremier Deputy Executive Director, Operations Cities and Counties San Francisco Bay Area

RE: Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 17

Dear Public Works Directors of the San Francisco Bay Area:

MTC is soliciting projects for the Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 17. Applications are due Friday, October 30, 2015 by 4:00 p.m. MTC expects to formally confirm finalists in January, contingent upon Administration Committee approval.

All eligible Bay Area cities and counties are encouraged to apply. This includes jurisdictions that previously applied for P-TAP funds but were not selected and past P-TAP recipients that may need additional funds to implement, maintain or update specific components of their pavement management program (PMP).

P-TAP provides Bay Area jurisdictions with assistance and expertise in implementing and maintaining a PMP. The program's success has enabled P-TAP to expand assistance to all Bay Area cities and counties. MTC has programmed approximately \$16 million in regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds during the last sixteen rounds of P-TAP. In total, MTC has funded about 665 projects and assisted all Bay Area jurisdictions with their pavement needs.

Jurisdictions applying for a P-TAP grant will have the option of selecting from the following types of projects: (1) Pavement Management Systems (PMS) projects, (2) Non-pavement Asset Management projects, and (3) roadway design projects including the development of Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E).

Through P-TAP, MTC has retained qualified consulting firms to provide assistance to Bay Area jurisdictions for eligible pavement projects. MTC will select the most appropriate firm to assign to each awarded jurisdiction based on the firm's expertise, jurisdictions' previous experience with the firm, the jurisdiction's preference, and the firm's geographic proximity with the jurisdiction. MTC does not guarantee that jurisdictions will be assigned their preferred firm.

Jurisdictions are expected to work directly with P-TAP consultants to complete the projects. Attachment A outlines the grant requirements with the jurisdiction's responsibilities for their P-TAP project. By accepting a P-TAP grant, jurisdictions authorize MTC and their assigned consulting firms to inspect their roadways.

Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 17 Page 2 of 2

Projects will be selected on the basis of the scoring criteria consistent with MTC Resolution 4078 (Attachment B). This includes scores for the type of project; the number of centerline miles in a jurisdiction; when a jurisdiction last received a P-TAP grant; and certification status.

For Round 17, approximately \$1.4 million in federal funds is expected to be available for programming. Jurisdictions will also be awarded up to two years subscription to StreetSaver® Online. The minimum grant amount awarded will be \$15,000 with a maximum cap of \$100,000 awarded per jurisdiction. The project amount awarded will include both the MTC's STP contribution as well as a local contribution of 20%. The local contribution includes the local match of 11.47%; the remaining 8.53% pays for the StreetSaver® subscription referenced above.

You can determine your jurisdiction's maximum eligible award amount by multiplying \$300 by the number of centerline miles in your jurisdiction. For example, if a jurisdiction has 50 centerline miles of road, then the maximum amount of project funding would be \$15,000 (the local contribution will be 20% of \$15,000, which is \$3,000). For PS&E and Non-Pavement Asset Management projects, applicants will need to provide an estimated cost (between \$15,000 and \$100,000) for the scope of work desired.

The actual award amount for individual jurisdictions will depend on the number of eligible applications received. The local contribution requirement of 20% of the grant sum needs to be paid to MTC by the local jurisdiction prior to the start of the project, and **no later than February 29**, **2016.** Failure to submit local contribution by the February 29 deadline will result in the loss of funding for the project, and removal from the P-TAP round. MTC staff will then select an alternate project for participation in this round.

All P-TAP 17 projects will be initiated in Fiscal Year 2015-16, and need to be completed by April 30, 2017. MTC will directly reimburse consultants working on P-TAP projects. Project sponsors are responsible for project costs exceeding the P-TAP grant amount. All grants are subject to availability of funds allocated for P-TAP by MTC. <u>Jurisdictions with a PMS certification inspection date in 2013 or earlier are urged to apply for P-TAP 17 PMP assistance.</u> If your jurisdiction would like to participate in P-TAP, please complete the application form online: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/pmp/.

Applications are due on <u>October 30, 2015 by 4:00 p.m.</u> For additional information contact: Christina Hohorst, Project Manager, at (510) 817-5869.

Sincerely,

Anne Richman

Director, Programming and Allocations

Done Rolline

AR:CH Attachments

S:\Project\Pavement Management\Projects\P-TAP\P-TAP 17\P-TAP 17 Call for Projects\Call for Projects Documents\04c_P-TAP 17 Call for Projects Letter.docx

P-TAP Round 17 Grant Requirements

This document provides an overview of the grant requirements for P-TAP Round 17, including schedule deadlines and deliverables checklists for all P-TAP projects, and budget options reports, information on GIS linkage and certification letters for Pavement Management System (PMS) projects. The Regional Pavement Condition Report is also discussed.

Schedule Milestones

October 6, 2015	MTC advertises call for projects
October 30, 2015	Applications due to MTC
January 13, 2016	MTC notifies grant finalists after Administration Committee approval
February 29, 2016	Local contribution checks due to MTC
March/April, 2016	Projects start
May 1, 2016	Deadline to set up StreetSaver® Online account profile (new/desktop users only)
April 30, 2017	Final Report due to MTC

Schedule Deadlines

Task #	Activity/Deliverable – PMS Projects	<u>Due Date</u>
1	Workscope, Schedule and Budget	June 1, 2016
2	Condition Survey Data and System Updates	October 1, 2016
3	Budget Analysis, Calculations and Reports	December 1, 2016
4	Budget Options Report (Final Report)	April 30, 2017

Task #	Activity/Deliverable – Non-Pavement Asset	<u>Due Date</u>
	Management/PS&E Projects	
1	Workscope, Schedule and Budget	June 1, 2016
2	35% of Workscope Tasks	October 1, 2016
3	95% of Workscope Tasks	December 1, 2016
4	100% of Submittal (Final Report)	April 30, 2017

Deliverables Checklists

Attachments A-1, A-2 and A-3 provide examples of the deliverables checklists for PMS, Non-Pavement Asset Management and PS&E projects, respectively. MTC requires that agency staff sign off on deliverables before consultants can be paid by MTC for work completed. The checklists provide agencies with an additional measure of quality control.

Budget Options Reports (BOR) – PMS Projects

Attachment A-4 is an outline of the required components for a Budget Options Reports (BOR) (e.g., final report).

GIS Linkage and Maps – PMS Projects

GIS linkage is mandatory for all PMS projects. There are two options available to meet this GIS requirement: the jurisdiction may either do the linkage in-house or ask the P-TAP consultant to complete the links to the agency's base map. If a jurisdiction has already established a GIS map separate from the TIGER maps available in StreetSaver®, but has not yet linked the map to pavement data, MTC provides a one-time GIS mapping integration service for \$1,750 that may be included as part of the P-TAP grant amount. For more information on the GIS Mapping Integration, please go to the Pavement Management Program website: http://www.mtcpms.org/products/index.html

Certification Letters – PMS Projects

Agencies are required to sign and mail their certification letters to MTC within two weeks of receipt from their P-TAP consultant. Certification letters for Round 17 are due to MTC by April 30, 2017. For more information on the certification letters, please go to: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/pmp/

Regional Pavement Condition Report - PMS Projects

Using data from StreetSaver®, MTC performs condition summaries of the region's roadways. These summaries are published on an annual basis in MTC's Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Report and designed to provide the public with an overall assessment of the region's transportation network. In order for MTC to include your jurisdiction's current conditions, please ensure that your P-TAP consultant completes Task 2 - Condition Survey Data and System Updates - by November 30, 2016.

Attachments

- A-1) Deliverables Checklist for PMS Projects
- A-2) Deliverables Checklist for Non-Pavement Asset Management Projects
- A-3) Deliverables Checklist for PS&E Projects
- A-4) Budget Options Report Overview

MTC Contact Information

Christina Hohorst
P-TAP Project Manager
chohorst@mtc.ca.gov
510.817.5869

Consultant: Agency: Sign-off by: Date: PTAP - PMS Project Deliverable Checklist		Check if completed	Staff initials/Task Approval	Remarks
Workscope, Schedule, & Budget (Task 1)	Local Match Received Project Kick-Off Agency's DB Connection (Email Sui Tan) Roles/Responsibilities Communication Protocol Conflict Resolution ¹ Traffic Control Discussed Expectations (Performance Review, BOR, etc) Scope of Work Defined QA/QC Plan Submitted Final Agreed Upon Price Schedule / Completion Date submitted to MTC Estimate of Hours of each Task			
Condition Survey Data and System Update (Task 2)	Overall network PCI before inspection: Inventory Reviewed & Audited Sectionalized Streets As Needed M&R Update Performed Reinspection (% network) Quality Management Report (QMR) approved by Jurisdiction - Remediation Work Documented - Agency participated in Field Survey? (Yes/No) Revised QMR submitted/approved by Jurisdiction (if applicable) Overall network PCI after inspection:			
Budget Analysis, Calculations & Reports (Task 3)	Verified Agency's budget assumptions Checked Interest & Inflation Rates Run 5-year Analysis Assessed Decision Trees Recommendation provided No recommendation provided Unit Costs Update Developed Multi-Year Work Plan Impacts Shown on GIS Maps ² Executive Summary Discussion of Pros/Cons of Current Practice Recommendations Discussion of Final Results w/ Agency Draft Report Submitted			
Final Project Report (Task 4)	Final Report Approved QMR/Revised QMR included in Final Report FINAL PCI: Local Acknowlegement of Data Acceptance Agency's DB Disconnection (Email Sui Tan) Prepare PMP Certification for signature			

^{1 -} Conflict Resolution: Any conflicts on the project should be resolved between the consultant and the Agency If it is deemed unresolved by either of the parties MTC must be contacted to address any concerns

^{2 -} GIS linkage is mandatory for all PMS projects. There are two options available to meet this GIS requirement.

Option A - Use TIGER maps for one-time GIS mapping integration service at \$500. The jurisdiction may either do the linkage in-house or ask the consultant to complete the links to the existing TIGER maps. The effort for this task will be paid either by the Agency out of the PTAP grant or may be included as part of the P-TAP grant amount by altering the proposed

Option B - If a jurisdiction has already established an agency-based GIS map separate from the TIGER maps but has not yet linked the map to pavement data. MTC provides a one-time GIS mapping integration service for \$1,750. This cost is in addition to the effort required to do the segmentation matching. The total cost may paid either by the Agency outside of the PTAP grant or may be included as part of the P-TAP grant amount by altering the proposed scope.

Consultant: Agency: Sign-off by: Date: PTAP - Non-pavement Project		Check If Completed	Staff Initials/ Task Approval	E ES
Deliverable Checklist		ਹ	Š	Remarks
Workscope, Schedule, & Budget (Task 1)	Local Match Received Project Kick-Off Roles/Responsibilities Communication Protocol Conflict Resolution Traffic Control Discussed Expectations (Performance Review, BOR, etc) Data collection methodology/approach discussed Scope of Work Defined QA/QC Plan Submitted Final Agreed Upon Price Schedule / Completion Date Estimate of Hours of each Task			
Condition Survey Data and System Update (Task 2)	Inventory Reviewed & Audited Sectionalized Streets As Needed M&R Update Performed Reinspection (% network) QC Report Remediation Work Documented Agency participated in Field Survey? (Yes/No)			
Draft Inventory Database and Needs Assessment (Task 3)	Verified Agency's budget assumptions Checked Interest & Inflation Rates Run 5-year Analysis Review Decision Trees Unit Costs Update Developed Multi-Year Work Plan Impacts Shown on GIS Maps ² Executive Summary Discussion of Pros/Cons of Current Practice Recommendations Discussion of Final Results w/ Agency			
Final Project Report (Task 4)	Final Report Local Acknowlegement of Data Acceptance			

Revised September 2015

^{1 -} Conflict Resolution: Any conflicts on the project should be resolved between the consultant and the Agency

If it is deemed unresolved by either of the parties. MTC must be contacted to address any concerns

Consultant: Agency: Sign-off by: Date: PTAP - Pavement Design Projects (PS&E) Deliverable Checklist		Check if Completed	Staff Initials/ Task Approval	Remarks
dule, & Budget 1)	Local Match Received Project Kick-Off Meeting Roles/Responsibilities Communication Protocol Scope of Work Defined			
Workscope, Schedule, & Budget (Task 1)	Limit of Work Area Identified Final Agreed Upon Price Schedule / Completion Date Estirnate of Hours Per Task Work Scope Delivered to MTC for Approval Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed			
Phase II: Pavement Evaluation Report (Task 2)	Deflection Testing Coring & R-value Sampling R-value Testing Visual Evaluation of Surface Conditions Drainage Problems Identified ADA / Accessibility Problems Identified Traffic Circulation Problems Identified Photographs of Site Conditions Cost Estimate Prepared for Each Segment Reccommendations Prepared From Investigation Delivery of Pavement Evaluation Report Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed			
Phase III: Design Development (Task 3)	Scaled Base Plans Created Utility Research Areas of Rehabilitation/Maintenance Items Shown Notes, Legends, etc. Included on Plans Construction Details Included on Plans Title Sheet w/Location Map, Legend, General Notes Cost Estimate of all Items of Work for Each Segment Bid Package Prepared General and Supplemental Conditions Prepared Technical Specifications Prepared Delivery of PS&E at 35% completion for City Review Meet with City Staff to Discuss Redline Comments Delivery of PS&E at 65% completion for City Review Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed			
Phase IV: Design Development (Task 4)	Meet with City Staff to Discuss Redline Comments Delivery of PS&E at 100% completion Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed			

Budget Options Report (BOR): Components of a Quality Report P-TAP

■ Audience

- Technical level maintenance and engineering personnel
- Policy level Public Works Directors, City Managers, County Executives, City Councils
- MTC encourages local jurisdictions and P-TAP consultants to present results/recommendations to policy level personnel

Purpose

- Translates technical analysis into pavement repair options
- Links needs analysis with annual and multi-year programming
- Shows impacts of varying levels of budgets which may increase funding
- Provides most cost-effective pavement repair recommendations
- Facilitates securing management buy-in to obtain policy board approvals
- Provides MTC with insight into jurisdictions' pavement management programs and policies

■ Content

- Executive Summary
 - Background explanations to define concepts, establish the BOR context
 - Highlights current/future pavement conditions and needs
 - Highlights past funding levels for pavement maintenance and rehabilitation with estimates for current/future anticipated revenue
 - Summarizes minimum three scenarios with clear depiction of impacts:
 - Maintain annual budget estimates over the next five years
 - Maintain existing PCI over the next five years
 - Increase current PCI by five-points over the next five years
 - GIS for three budget scenarios analyses, show impacts through the use of GIS maps in the StreetSaver® GIS Toolbox
 - Suggestions that clearly lay out realistic options, for example:
 - Budget
 - o Maintain current funding in order to maintain PCI, or
 - o Increase budget to \$X in order to improve PCI to Y
 - Pavement Maintenance promote pavement preservation principles to capture cost savings
 - Policy Recommendations Explore possibility of assessing impact fees based on garbage/recycling truck routes
- Supporting documentation (intended for technical level audience)

■ Recommended format

- Length <u>Minimum five page executive summary</u> to effectively communicate critical information
- Graphics Clear summary graphics essential

SCORING CRITERIA FOR P-TAP 17 PROJECTS

No.	Description	Score Range	Total Points
1	Scope of Work Requested	5 to 25	25
	Jurisdictions applying for Pavement Management System (PMS) projects will receive higher scores.	PMS = 25 NPAM=5 PS&E = 5	
2	Centerline Miles	10 to 20	20
	Jurisdictions with fewer centerline miles will receive higher scores.	<100 = 20 100-300 = 15 >300 = 10	
3	Prior P-TAP Recipient	0 to 30	30
	Jurisdictions that have not recently received P-TAP funds will receive higher scores.	Round 14 or earlier = 30 Round 15 = 15 Round 16 = 0	
4	Certification Status	10 to 25	25
	Jurisdictions without current PMP certification will receive higher scores. Projects are prioritized based on last inspection date. If applying for all three project types, the PMP certification project will take precedence.	Last inspection date between*: Before October 2013 = 25 November 2013- March 2014 = 20 April - October 2014 = 15 November 2014- March 2015 = 10 April - October 2015 = 5	

Total Points Possible

100

^{*} Last inspection date will be used in tie breakers; the oldest inspection date/s will take precedence.